

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	10/553,082	PALENIUS ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
	FRANK DONADO	2617	

  

**All Participants:**

(1) FRANK DONADO.

(2) William Tucker.

**Date of Interview:** 26 October 2010

**Type of Interview:**

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant    ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes    ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: \_\_\_\_\_

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

*None.*

Claims discussed:

*1, 3, 5-12, 15, 16, 18-25 and 28-37*

Prior art documents discussed:

*None*

**Part II.**

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

*See Continuation Sheet*

**Part III.**

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

**Status of Application:** Amendment after Final Rejection

(3) \_\_\_\_\_

(4) \_\_\_\_\_

**Time:** 1:00 pm

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The Examiner and Mr. Tucker agreed that including the limitation that the method of claim 1 is performed entirely by the mobile communication apparatus would make all claims allowable. In addition, Mr. Tucker agreed to amend independent claim 16 and its dependent claims in a similar manner as claim 1, more specifically, to replace the arrangement with the mobile communication apparatus.